

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,505	BORER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghia M. Doan	2825	

All Participants:

(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(2) Mr. Jason D. Lohr, Reg. No. 48,163.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2006

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 11, 16, 22, 32, and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner was authorized to incorporate the limitation recited in claim 30 into claims 1, 11, 16, 22, and 33, and incorporate claims 5 and 36 into claims 4 and 35, respectively. That makes claim language distinct from the prior art made of record. Beside that, Examiner also was authorized to amend and correct some other typographical error of the claim language as well (see Examiner Amendment). .